From: Nazmi, Niloufar [Nazmi.Niloufar@epa.gov]

Sent: 9/25/2019 4:50:43 PM

To: R9-AIR-Division [R9-AIR-Division@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: FYI - Trump threat on California highway funds may be a first. Gavin Newsom calls it payback

South Coast AQMD Statement on Wheeler letter to CARB re: State Implementation Plans

Statement by Wayne Nastri, executive officer for the South Coast Air Quality Management District:

"Today, the Trump Administration made outrageous false claims regarding State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for the Coachella Valley. The 1997 8-hour Ozone SIP for Coachella Valley was approved by U.S. EPA on June 12, 2017 (82 FR 26854). One portion of that plan has not been acted upon and we await clarification from EPA. The 2008 8-hour ozone SIP for Coachella Valley was submitted in 2017 and is currently undergoing review by the EPA.

These and other approved California SIPs clearly show that the air quality challenges facing much of California stem from decades of the federal government's failure to address emissions from federally regulated mobile sources, including heavy duty trucks, locomotives, planes and ships. The federal government needs to stop its punitive actions to reduce California's authority and instead focus on cleaning the air for Californians and all Americans.

We will continue to do our part to clean the air for California residents with the world's most stringent pollution control requirements for sources under our control."

Jack Broadbent, executive officer of the Air District, offered a statement on the Trump Administration's threat to pull California transportation funding.

"Last week, The Trump Administration said they will revoke the emissions waiver, which would create more air pollution and health impacts. This week, they are threatening to punish California for not meeting air quality standards by threatening to take away federal transportation funding – a classic case of blaming the victim. The administration's threat to take away federal transportation funding – funding that's used, in part, for mass transit and infrastructure – would create even more air pollution. This duplicitous move adds insult to injury, makes no sense from a public policy or health perspective and certainly doesn't help move the needle to reduce harmful air pollution. In the face of these spiteful actions, the Bay Area Air District will never waver in our fight to reduce air pollution and protect the health of Bay Area residents."

View press release 🖟 (232 Kb PDF, 1 pg, posted 05/24/19).

Niloufar Nazmi

Air and Radiation Division, EPA Region 9 Desk: 415-972-3684; Cell: 415-328-1143

From: Mayfield, Dana <mayfield.dana@epa.gov>Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 9:42 AM

To: Nazmi, Niloufar <Nazmi.Niloufar@epa.gov>; R9-AIR-Division <R9-AIR-Division@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: FYI - Trump threat on California highway funds may be a first. Gavin Newsom calls it payback

https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/news/carb-executive-officer-response-epa-letter-state-implementation-plans

From: Nazmi, Niloufar < Nazmi.Niloufar@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 8:10 AM To: R9-AIR-Division < R9-AIR-Division@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: FYI - Trump threat on California highway funds may be a first. Gavin Newsom calls it payback

For your situational awareness.

Niloufar Nazmi

Air and Radiation Division, EPA Region 9 Desk: 415-972-3684; Cell: 415-328-1143

From: Maier, Brent < Maier. Brent@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 8:06 AM

To: Nazmi, Niloufar < Nazmi.Niloufar@epa.gov >; Adams, Elizabeth < Adams.Elizabeth@epa.gov >; Lakin, Matt < Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov >; Kurpius, Meredith < Kurpius.Meredith@epa.gov >; Zimpfer, Amy < Zimpfer.Amy@epa.gov >; Lee, Anita < Lee.Anita@epa.gov >

Cc: Glenn, William <<u>Glenn.William@epa.gov</u>>; PerezSullivan, Margot <<u>PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov</u>>; Calvino, Maria Soledad <<u>Calvino.Maria@epa.gov</u>>; Adamic, Denise <<u>Adamic.Denise@epa.gov</u>>; DIAZ, ALEJANDRO <Diaz.Alejandro@epa.gov>; Schmidt, David <Schmidt.David@epa.gov>

Subject: FYI - Trump threat on California highway funds may be a first. Gavin Newsom calls it payback

Trump threat on California highway funds may be a first. Gavin Newsom calls it payback

BY DALE KASLER AND SOPHIA BOLLAG – SACRAMENTO BEE

SEPTEMBER 24, 2019 02:08 PM, UPDATED SEPTEMBER 24, 2019 02:11 PM

• Clean car advocates and state leaders gathered in Fresno on Monday, Sept. 24, 2018 for an EPA hearing against the plan to revoke California's authority on vehicle pollution. BY JOHN WALKER

The federal government has fought with California officials for years over the state's chronic air pollution. It's even threatened to withdraw highway funding from parts of the state because of selected shortcomings in California's anti-pollution strategies.

But the Trump administration's threat to <u>pull billions of dollars in highway funding</u> from vast stretches of California over a broad range of pollution issues appears to be unprecedented.

Critics said the threat, spelled out in a letter Monday from the head of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to the chairwoman of the California Air Resources Board, represents payback for

California's efforts to push stricter <u>controls on greenhouse gas emissions</u> from cars. The Trump administration is resisting those efforts.

"The White House has no interest in helping California comply with the Clean Air Act to improve the health and well-being of Californians," Gov. Gavin Newsom said in a prepared statement. "This letter is a threat of pure retaliation.

"While the White House tries to bully us and concoct new ways to make our air dirtier, California is defending our state's clean air laws from President Trump's attacks," Newsom added. "We won't go back to the days when our air was the color of mud. We won't relive entire summers when spending time outside amounted to a public health risk. We won't be intimidated by this brazen political stunt."

Wayne Nastri, who ran the <u>EPA's Western regional office</u> under President George W. Bush's administration, called the letter unprecedented in its scope.

"I've never seen such a letter," said Nastri, who now runs the South Coast Air Quality Management District, the air pollution agency for much of Southern California. "It's a punitive action. We're on the front lines now."

As recently as last December, the EPA threatened California with sanctions over problems with the state's plan for <u>reducing particulate matter in the San Joaquin Valley</u>. Particulate matter is a mix of small particles of dust, metals and other components that can enter the lungs. Also last year, the feds sent a similar warning to California over particulate matter in Imperial County.

But Nastri and others couldn't recall the EPA ever issuing a broad-brushed threat, covering most of the state, comparable to the letter EPA Administrator Andrew Wheeler sent Monday to Mary Nichols, the chairwoman of the Air Resources Board.

"The goal should be to try to help California succeed," said Felicia Marcus, who ran the EPA regional office during the Clinton administration. "That's a political letter."

Wheeler's letter accuses California of falling short on its state implementation plans — programs the state has pledged to carry out to fix problems with ozone, smog and other forms of pollution in various parts of the state. The EPA chief said California in many cases had submitted plans that "are inactive" or are lacking pieces of information. He said he wanted to clear out a "backlog" of more than 130 such plans pending in California, "many dating back decades."

In a written response to the EPA, the Air Resources Board's executive officer Richard Corey said the federal agency "has unclean hands: It sat on these documents for years and is now pounding the table about paperwork issues of its own creation."

Among other things, Wheeler cited the Sacramento area's excessive ozone problems. The Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District had no immediate response to the EPA's letter.

Under the EPA's rules, the state has 18 months to get into compliance with the agency's regulations. If the state's plans are still deemed inadequate, the EPA can then impose so-called "offset" sanctions, which require immediate reductions in pollution.

Six months later, if the EPA isn't satisfied, it can then move to block federal highway funding in the affected area of the state.

"Rarely do we impose sanctions following disapproval of state plans," said Michael Abboud, the EPA's chief spokesman. "Usually states will provide a complete, satisfactory plan prior to sanctions being issued."

He added that "sanctions limiting the use of highway funds have rarely been imposed," but he didn't provide any examples.

https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article235427257.html

Brent Maier Congressional Liaison U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St. (OPA-3) San Francisco, CA 94105

Ph: 415.947.4256